

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,831		02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917US5	4094	
20995	7590	09/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	TOOMER, CEPHIA D			
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	A 92614	<b>,</b>		1714		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			D	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/084,831	JORDAN, FREDERICK L.	DERICK L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- on. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>12 July 2005</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-74,76-91 and 93-101</u> is/are po	ending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66-74,76-91 and 97-100</u> is/are al	llowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>93,95 and 101</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>94 and 96</u> is/are objected to.		-		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	•		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,	· ·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>		onlication No		
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the				
application from the International Bu				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.		
.ttachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmmary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Maii Date</li> </ul>	·	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 12, 2005 in which claims 66, 73, 74, 76, 80, 81, 84, 90, 91 and 97 were amended and claims 100-101 were added.

The 102 rejections of the claims as anticipated by Finnan or Fujiwara are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

The 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 101 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 101 is rejected because it is a dependent of claim 102.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/084,831

Art Unit: 1714

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 93 and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a color-stabilized food coloring composition comprising about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt% beta-carotene, about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt % of at least one edible oil and about 0.05 wt % to about 1.5 wt% of dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 14-24). The edible oils include peanut, cottonseed and palm (see col. 3, lines 22-30). The thermal stabilizers include BHA and BHT (see col. 3, lines 31-37). Kirk teaches that the preferred antioxidant (stabilizers) is dl-alpha-tocopherol and that it is derived from plant sources such as whole grains by extraction (see col. 3, lines 38-46). Kirk teaches that the vegetable oil also functions as a diluent (see col. 6, lines 35-37).

Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach that the plant oil extract is derived from barley. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select barley extract as the plant oil extract because Kirk teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is extracted from whole grains. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, this teaching suggests barley.

5. Claims 94 and 96 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the addition of meadowfoam oil or a solvent.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,831 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

6. Claims 66-74, 76-91 and 97-100 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed coal and meadowfoam oil as a component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephía D. Toomer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714